

**Subject: Re: Request for Comment – Point-by-Point Response (All Allegations Addressed)**

Hi David,

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your accusations. Below is my direct, point-by-point rebuttal to every allegation you listed. Each claim is quoted verbatim, followed by the facts.

**1. People Magazine said they canceled a potential cover story in 2021, did not believe your claims, and objected to you using their name to promote an unconfirmed story for personal gain.**

Response: I possess emails and text messages from the People Magazine reporter who conducted the interview. Those communications directly contradict the claim and show the opposite of what was reported to you. Please provide the exact name of your source at People Magazine.

**2. A documentary was announced in 2021, but DreamEmpire Films reportedly canceled the project and voided the contract due to a difference in creative approach.**

Response: The agreement was mutually dissolved after discussions with Joyce about the project's direction. It was not a unilateral cancellation by DreamEmpire. Both parties parted respectfully and wished each other the best moving forward.

**3. Vanity Fair stated that it did not believe your claims, believed you were attempting to mislead them, and denied that it had planned to publish a feature story about you.**

Response: This claim is false. We have emails, text messages, and video recordings with the journalist that directly contradict it. Michael Connelly and multiple consulting detectives were involved and copied on the communications. Identify your source so the record can be corrected.

**4. The Times of London stated that it did not believe claims regarding a photoshoot for upcoming international coverage.**

Response: This claim is false. We have the emails and texts confirming the photoshoot arrangements with their contracted photographer at the Capitol building on March 3, 2026. The shoot took place and is public knowledge. Identify your source.

**5. A source stated that photographs of Marvin Merrill's girlfriend, Elizabeth, were found behind the sketch.**

Response: False. The sketch was examined by a forensic expert in the presence of credible witnesses. No photographs, notes, or other materials were attached to the back of the ELIZABETH sketch. The AI-generated image claiming otherwise has already been publicly debunked and shared with you directly.

**6. A source stated that you claimed to possess a piece of Zodiac victim Paul Stine's bloody shirt.**

Response: I have never claimed to possess any piece of Paul Stine's shirt. I have only stated — publicly and based on an unrelated FBI report and Zodiac letters — that we believe we know where the remaining piece may have been left. This is already in the public domain.

**7. A source stated that an unlicensed associate of yours was presenting himself as an attorney.**

Response: No associate of mine or my organization has ever presented themselves illegally or otherwise as a licensed attorney for myself or my agency. This never happened. Hendrix's claim involved a woman (not a man) who obtained a protection order against him; neither I nor my agency had any involvement in this incident.

**8. Multiple sources stated that they suspect you are directly or indirectly using fake social media accounts to defend and promote your investigations.**

Response: False and unsupported. I have never created or operated fake accounts in support of myself. The claim that every supporter must be me is baseless speculation. Supporters, family, and friends have been harassed over this accusation when showing any support of findings or investigations.

**9. DeWayne Hendrix stated that you attempted to take his supporters and made false statements about him to Wayne Williams. He further stated that he paid for your hotel room and transportation.**

Response: False. I have never spoken to Wayne Williams about Hendrix without Hendrix present, nor made negative statements about him. All relevant communications are either recorded or documented. Hendrix never paid for any hotel without reimbursement. A third party covered transportation to the People magazine interview as a courtesy; we offered reimbursement, which was declined. Provide proof of his claims.

**10. Nicholas Burston stated that you were dishonest, had not solved any cases, were attempting to profit from his family's grief, and were trying to position yourself as the public face of their movement.**

Response: False. I have numerous messages and a televised interview in which Burston publicly supported my work. I have never earned one dollar from the Atlanta Child Murders case. I personally spent thousands of documented dollars on travel and expenses in support of the victims families and have numerous messages of gratitude. The allegations have zero supporting evidence.

**11. DeWayne Hendrix and Nicholas Burston stated that you attempted to claim their work as your own.**

Response: Identify specifically what work they claim I stole. Multiple people contributed to the investigation. If they have examples, they should provide them. Records actually show they referenced my findings in their own videos on multiple occasions.

**12. Steve Hodel has stated that you failed to credit him for Black Dahlia material he provided.**

Response: I have acknowledged Steve Hodel's materials he provided on the Elizabeth Short case. These acknowledgments are documented both with active law enforcement interviews and our independent team.

**13. A Zodiac researcher stated that you used his Marvin Margolis research without providing credit.**

Response: Travis under an alias of Trevor, assisted with a portion of the early Marvin Margolis and Lawrence Kane research. His involvement has been publicly disclosed and documented. While he contributed to a portion of the initial research, the overall investigation extended well beyond those efforts.

**14. A source stated that a background check revealed only employment in a grocery store produce department.**

Response: False. I have never worked in a grocery store produce department. Any background check reaching that conclusion is incorrect or based on false information. Provide background check for verification.

**15. A source stated that you told stories about serving in special operations and claimed to be an agent on assignment as a cover for infidelity while living with your girlfriend.**

Response: False and absurd. The claim is unsupported by any evidence. Provide the source and evidence of claim.

**16. A source stated that a separate background check showed prior employment as a security guard and questioned whether that employment was connected to your claimed PSO experience or military service.**

Response: My protective services work began over a decade ago. Any legitimate security-related listing is consistent with that background. I still possess my certification.

**17. A source stated that you claimed to have served in special operations and that Black Hawk Down was based on the unit in which you served. The source further stated that they and J-Lynn contacted your mother, who reportedly said that you never served.**

Response: False. My mother has confirmed that neither individual ever contacted her about my military service or any related matter. She is available to state this directly publicly.

**18. A source stated that you were terminated after three months while working in admissions at Austin's Beauty College and described you as manipulative, untrustworthy, and unable to be trusted with money.**

Response: False. I assisted the owner with grant and military-funded admissions at their request. Multiple staff and managers from that time can and will contradict these claims.

**19. A source stated that you were terminated from a previous job before J-Lynn helped you obtain employment at Linda's Beauty College, where you were allegedly terminated a few months later for theft.**

Response: False on every point. I never worked at "Linda's." I worked at Austin's (addressed above). I was not terminated, there was no accusations of theft, and preserved communications show I left for a full-time employment opportunity. This is a continuation of fabricated claims in hopes of tarnishing my reputation.

**20. A source described you as a scam artist, habitual liar, drug addict, and thief, and alleged that you become abusive and violent when drinking.**

Response: These are blatant, unsupported lies that are reprehensible. I have zero record of fraud, dishonesty, drug addiction, abuse or violence.

**21. A source stated that your gambling habits resulted in significant financial debt.**

Response: False. No evidence exists because the claim is fabricated. It appears intended only to damage my reputation. Provide documentation of claim.

**22. A source stated that you stole from and abused J-Lynn, controlled her communications, isolated her from others, manipulated her, and relocated her to another state.**

Response: False and disgusting. I never “stole” anything from my ex-wife, attempted to control her, or concerned myself with her phone. My ex-wife chose to relocate to the East Coast on her own; no persuasion was needed. We have close personal associates to testify to this.

**23. A source stated that you harassed both the source and J-Lynn to remove negative comments about you from YouTube and conditioned completion of your divorce upon their compliance.**

Response: The comments were removed because they contained false statements. My ex-wife wanted the divorce details kept private for her own reasons, particularly to protect the children. I have honored that. Your source has a personal grievance towards me and will state anything to damage my credibility.

**24. A source stated that you are not autistic and only claim to be autistic to obtain sympathy and support.**

Response: This claim is offensive. I was formally diagnosed on the autism spectrum in childhood by qualified medical professionals. Suggesting otherwise is both false and inappropriate. Provide source for verification of statement.

**25. The owner of a car dealership described you as dishonest, unable to maintain employment, involved in fraud, well-spoken, and highly manipulative, and cautioned others to be careful when dealing with you.**

Response: Identify the individual who made these statements. Broad, unsupported accusations are not evidence. If made, they will be addressed through legal channels.

**26. A source stated that you worked for him for approximately one week, dated and cheated on his former wife, stole money from her, and frequently lied.**

Response: Heavily manipulated and largely fabricated. I helped the “source” once on a small project because he needed labor and no one wanted to work for him. I ended the relationship with his ex-wife for documented reasons involving my daughter’s best interest. The rest is false. Provide evidence or withdraw it.

**27. Two sources stated that Lori Halstead used a fake Facebook account to initiate a relationship with you that later became real. One source further stated that she incurred substantial debt in support of you.**

Response: False and offensive. The cited sources have no reliable knowledge of our personal relationship or finances. This claim will result in a separate lawsuit if claimed publicly.

**28. Multiple sources stated that you have a history of legal problems in multiple states.**

Response: I have no criminal record or history of legal problems implying fraud or misconduct. Like many people, I have worked to address routine financial obligations during challenging times. This line of questioning has no relevance to my investigative work and appears to be a personal attack.

**29. DeWayne Hendrix stated that you challenged him to a physical fight and that your wife told him, “You treat her like shit.”**

Response: Hendrix and I had a complicated history that ended when I removed him from association with my team. He had a history of making others uncomfortable. I once offered to participate in an exhibition boxing match being that he is a “boxing trainer.” Proceeds were to go to a ATKID victims fund. TOS is documented. Provide evidence of the alleged statement from my ex-wife.

**Final Statement**

All of the above “sources” cited were removed from my life for justifiable reasons and now have clear personal grievances. Not a single one of these accusations is supported by any police report, court filing, civil action, or official record — because none of the alleged misconduct ever occurred.

I remain available for any additional clarifications before your June 2, 2026 publication. Please provide the names of your specific sources so the can be confirmed and the record can be corrected with the actual evidence. Consider this an official statement for the record, clarifying any claims prior to publication of the above accusations.

Thank you,  
Alex Baber  
C.C.C.O.A.  
717-308-9704  
cccoa.us

BCC Legal